

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY ROOSEVELT POARCH,

Plaintiff,

v.

LENICK RANDY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-01191-WBS-EFB (PC)

ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S
FILINGS

ECF Nos. 38, 39, 40, 41


On January 13, 2021, the court dismissed this case without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim. ECF Nos. 33, 34. Judgment was duly entered. ECF No. 35.

Plaintiff has since filed four letters, which are all largely unintelligible. ECF Nos. 38-41. In one of the letters, plaintiff states that he objects to the January 13, 2021 order. ECF No. 41. But the remainder of the one-page document consists of disjointed factual assertions that have no relevance to the court's basis for dismissing this action. Plaintiff's filings do not constitute proper requests for reconsideration or appeal of the January 13, 2021 order and judgment. The documents will therefore be disregarded.

Plaintiff is notified that the court will not respond to future filings in this action that are not authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

1 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's filings, ECF Nos. 38-41, are
2 disregarded.
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: February 10, 2021


JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE